

**Quality Assurance Review**  
**National Bridge Inspection Standards &**  
**Bridge Maintenance Program**

**Van Wert County**

**October 13, 2022**

By: Mark Sherman, PE

CEAO Federal Bridge QA/QC Engineer

The scope of this review is to evaluate the agency's bridge inspection program based upon The Ohio Revised Code, the ODOT Manual of Bridge Inspection (MBI), and the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS). This includes the following checklist, interviews with staff members responsible for the inspection program, review of files and documentation, and field inspection of bridges. Note: the inspection program includes inventory, maintenance and load rating in addition to the field inspections.

**Agency:** Van Wert County Engineer's Office

**DATE:** 9/27/2022

**Questionnaire Completed by:** Kory Thatcher

***I. MAINTENANCE, REHABILITATION AND REPLACEMENT PROGRAM***

**A. NUMBER OF BRIDGES WITH MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY**

- 1. Greater than 20' long (NBIS length 23CFR 650c) (Metric 22) 221
- 2. Bridges >= 10' and <= 20' long (Metric 22) 44

**B. PROCEDURES AND BUDGET**

- 1. Contract repairs and replacement per yea

Replacements:(Enter Number): Culverts : 0 Bridges: 1

Rehabilitations (Enter Number): Culverts : 0 Bridges: 0

Replacements (Enter Number): Culverts : 0 Bridges: 0

-List approximate annual budget: \$150,000

Are Credit Bridge funds used?

Are Fed Funds used?

2. In-house repairs and replacements

Replacements:(Enter Number): Culverts : 1 Bridges: 3

Rehabilitations (Enter Number): Culverts : Bridges:

Replacements (Enter Number): Culverts : Bridges:

List approximate annual budget: \$250,000

3. How are projects identified and selected? Check all that apply.

- Inspection reports.
- Sufficiency rating.
- Growth/development.
- Other...explain ADT's, Farm use, Posted Bridges

4. How are plans developed for emergency repairs? Check all that apply.

- In-house
- Consultant
- Contractor
- Other explain As needed/ any of the above

5. Who does the work of emergency repairs? Check all that apply.

- In house
- Contractor
- Other explain As needed/any of the above

6. How is repair work documented? (i.e. work record, time card, plans?)

- Work orders
- Time Cards
- Plans

7. Who is empowered to order emergency road closures and how is it done?

- Engineer? Call the Sheriff
- Sheriff?
- Commissioners?

## II. INSPECTION PROGRAM

### A. NUMBER OF BRIDGES WITH INSPECTION RESPONSIBILITY

1. Greater than 20' long (NBIS length, ORC 5501.47, 5543.20) (Metric 22) 221
2. Between 10' and 20' long (ORC 5501.47, 5543.20) (Metric 22) 44

### B. STAFFING

1. Name of individual who is the **Program Manager** (makes FINAL DECISION). List qualifications/yrs. experience (bridge inspection experience) (Metric 1&2)

Name: Kyle Wendel PE,PS

- Yrs. Inspection related experience: 25

- List courses attended (& approx. dates) All courses required

2. Name of individual in charge of bridge inspection unit (**Reviewer**). List qualifications/yrs. experience (bridge inspection experience) (Metric 1)

Name: Kyle Wendel PE,PS

- Yrs. Inspection related experience: 25

- List courses attended (& approx. dates)

3. **Team Leader** - individual in charge of bridge inspection team (INSPECTED BY). List qualifications/yrs. experience (bridge inspection experience) (Metric 1&3)

Name: Kory Thatcher PE,PS

- Yrs. Inspection related experience: 10

- List courses attended (& approx. dates) Level 1 and 2 in 2012. SMS Training 2013. Refresher coursed as required.

C. Indicate the percentage of time spent on the listed duties in the previous year

%TIME on inspections:

- \_\_\_% Bridge/Culvert inspection
- \_\_\_% Bridge Design/Plan prep
- \_\_\_% Bridge Construction
- \_\_\_% Bridge Maintenance All Equal/ as needed
- \_\_\_% Overload/Superloads
- \_\_\_% Surveying
- \_\_\_% Other -
- \_\_\_% 100% on Bridges only

4. **Load Rating Engineer** – Name of individual responsible for load ratings (must be PE) (Metric 4)

a. List Ohio PE #   81698   b. Name:   Kory Thatcher  

5. **Underwater Bridge Inspection Diver** – Name person doing dive inspections (Metric 5)

- Name:   None  

- Yrs. Inspection related experience:

- List courses attended (& approx dates )

## D. INSPECTION EQUIPMENT

1. Type of vehicle used for inspections

- Pickup truck
- Van
- SUV
- Custom vehicle

2. What typical inspection equipment does the inspection team normally carry with them to the inspection site? Check all that apply.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extension Ladder Length ____ | <input type="checkbox"/> 6' Folding Rule                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100' Fiberglass Tape         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scraper              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geologist Hammer             | <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Clearance Rod          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inspection Mirror            | <input type="checkbox"/> Probing Rod                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flashlight                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paint Stick/Crayon   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thermometer                             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hip Boots and Waders |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plumb Bob                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Sounding Chains                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Camera                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wrenches             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2'-0" Level                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pliers               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brush Hook/Axe               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screw Driver         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Boat                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shovel               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> First Aid Kit                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calipers             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wire Brush                   |  |

Other equipment not listed above:

**3. List types of NDT methods used? Circle all that apply.**

- Dye penetrant;     Magnetic particle;     Ultrasound;  
Other None

**5. What equipment does your team have available for "hands on" access to FCM bridge members? (Metric 16)**

**6. Use of equipment (Metric 16)**

- a. How many bridges need a snoopers? 0  
 b. How many bridges is it used on? 0  
 c. How often? 0

**7. Who determines the need for a routine inspection frequency greater than once Annually, and what criteria is used? (Metric 6)**

**Explain:** Kory Thatcher    Inspector/Engineering Judgement

**8. Do you have bridges requiring insp. more frequently than 12 MO    Yes     No**

0 **Number due to Damage**    Choose an item.    **List frequency of inspection.** (Metric 11)

0 Number needing **In-depth** Choose an item. List frequency of inspection. (Metric 11) \_\_\_\_\_

0 Number of **Special insp** Choose an item. List frequency of inspection. (Metric 11)

**9. Does your inspection team believe it has enough time to do the job?**

Yes  No

**10. List your quality assurance checks made during the inspection process?** (Metric 20)

Look at plans, old inspection reports, photos, load ratings, ask opinion of old inspector

**11. Do you have any bridges that need underwater inspections in less than 60-month intervals?**

(Metric 8)

Yes  No  (Assetwise check)

**12. Do any bridges have fracture critical inspections performed more frequently than 24-month intervals?** (Metric 10)

Yes  No  (Assetwise check)

**13. Is a Team Leader at the bridge at all times during the following inspections?** (Metric 12)

Initial Inspection? Yes  No

Routine Annual Inspections? Yes  No

Special Inspections? Yes  No

Underwater Inspections? Yes  No

Fracture Critical Inspections? Yes  No

## E. INSPECTION PROCEDURES

**1. Approximately how many inspections were made during last calendar year?** (Metric 6)

266

**2. Approximately how many inspections are scheduled for the current calendar year?**

(Metric 6)

265

3. Average number of inspections per day (Metric 6) 7

4. Approximately how long (hours) does it take to inspect average sized structures

- a. Beam/Girder: Simple Span: 0.25\_hrs. Multi-span: \_\_\_\_hrs.  
b. Slab bridge: Simple Span: 0.25\_\_hrs. Multi-span: \_\_\_\_hrs.  
c. Truss (pony): Simple Span: \_\_0.5\_\_hrs. Multi-span: \_\_\_\_hrs.  
d. Through/deck): Simple Span: \_\_0.25\_\_hrs. Multi-span: \_\_\_\_hrs.  
e. Culvert: Single cell \_\_\_\_\_0.25\_hrs. Multiple Cells: \_\_\_\_hrs.

5. Are previous inspection reports available at site for review? (Metric 15) Yes  No

6. Are bridge inspections recorded in field on  Paper  Electronically

7. Are photos available for every bridge? Yes  No  (If no, you need to start.)

8. Are photos posted in Assetwise? Yes  No  (If no, you need to start, and be selective.)

9. Are defects photos taken during inspection? Yes  No  (If no, you need to start.)

10. Are Bridge comments recorded in Assetwise? Yes  No  (If no, you need to start.)

11. Are previous bridge comments brought to the bridge? Yes  No  (If no, why not)

12. Are the bridge plans carried to the bridge site for review? (Metric 15). Yes  No

13. Are bridge records available for review in the bridge office? (Metric 15) Yes  No

#### F. SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES (Guidance in ODOT Manual of Bridge Inspection)

1. No. of bridges considered scour susceptible? (Service over Water) Number 265

2. Number of bridges inspected by probing? Number As needed.

3. Number of Scour Critical bridges (item 113 - 3, 2, 1 or 0)? (Metric 18) Number 0.

4. Are Plans of Action (POA) complete and implemented for all bridges coded “Scour Critical”? (Metric 18) **Yes**  **No**  **If no, Why? Have none**

5. How many structures are coded 6 on item 113 Scour Critical? (Metric 18) **Number**   0  .

6. How are scour evaluations performed? (Metric 18)

**Inspection**

7. Who determines the need for diving inspections and by what criteria?

**Kory Thatcher**

## **G. INVENTORY**

1. What kinds of inventory quality assurance checks are performed? (Metric 22)

Who checks? **Kory Thatcher**

How Often?...  With every inspection       Less often than once per year

2. How often is the inventory checked for needed updates? (Metric 22)

How Often?...  With every inspection       Less often than once per year

3. How is the inventory data input into Assetwise?

Electronically, Direct into Assetwise from collector App. as bridge is inspected

All at once at the end of the year from a paper copy into Assetwise

As each inspection is complete from paper to computer to Assetwise.

4. When is the updated/new inventory data forwarded to ODOT? (Metric 23)

Changes discovered during inspection? **Yes**  **No**

Changes from new construction or rehab? **Yes**  **No**

5. **NBIS requires that the inspecting organization maintain master lists of the following:**

(Metric 16,17,11)

a. Bridges that contain fracture critical members, including the location and description of such members on the bridge and the inspection procedures of such members (Each individual FCM



member on each FCM bridge must be clearly identified in the bridge file) (Where a FCM Identification Plan exists then look for remaining fatigue life). Master List?

Yes  Number \_\_\_\_\_: If, No, Why not? \_\_\_\_\_ NA

b. Bridges requiring underwater inspections.

Number \_\_\_\_\_ NA

c. Bridges with unique or special features (i.e., pin & hanger, draw, suspension)

Number \_\_\_\_\_ NA

**Note: An examination of the files will be performed during the review.**

Options: For the files listed below you can email a copy of a typical file or have them on hand for inspection.

- Bridge Files
- Scour Critical POA.
- Fracture Critical Plan.
- UW inspection Procedure

**H. PROCEDURES**

**1. Are new maintenance problems identified during bridge inspection?** (Metric 15)

Yes  No

**2. How do the inspectors inform maintenance personnel of routine bridge maintenance problems ( written, oral, other)?** (Metric 15)

- Written work order.
- Electronic Communication.
- Oral direction.
- Other. Explain **Use IPAD Dashboard with work needed**

**3. Who do the inspectors notify when emergency repairs, or critical findings are necessary (action required within 1 week)?** (Metric 21)

Check all that apply.

- County Engineer
- Bridge Superintendent
- County bridge Engineer
- Sheriff

**How is this emergency action documented? (Must be entered and tracked in Assetwise)**

Explain if different than procedure in Assetwise

4. If a bridge requires emergency repairs, is this noted as part of the inspection report or as a separate document? (Metric 21)

Both

5. Who checks proper placement of signs (load posting, clearance, speed restriction, narrow bridge etc.)? (Metric 15)

Kory Thatcher

## I. LOAD ANALYSIS AND POSTING

1. Number of plans for existing bridges available for NBIS length bridges. 216

2. Number of plans for non-NBIS bridges ( $\geq 10'$  and  $\leq 20'$  long) 35

3. Number of bridges analyzed using the *AASHTO Bridge Evaluation* (Metric 13) 216

By Whom (Metric 13)

- Load Rating Engineer
- County Engineer
- Bridge Engineer
- Consultant

4. When are bridges load rated, after initial rating. Check all that apply

- Every 5 years regardless.
- When there is a significant change in condition rating.
- When wearing surface thickness increases more than 1-1/2 inches
- When permit load is requested
- other

5. Methods used (Metric 13)

- AAWSHTO BrR
- Hand Calculated
- Engineering Judgement (BR100)
- BARS or other proprietary software program
- Other Explain\_\_ Odot Spreadsheets

Consultant \_\_\_\_\_

6. Number of NBIS length bridges “not ratable” at all due to lack of data and may have to be field tested. (Metric 13) *(These are bridges that have a coding of 5, not 0 in the method of analysis Item.)*

Number 0 Plan of action for load rating these?

**7. Number of NBIS length bridges load posted** (Metric 14) (Assetwise Check)

Number of bridges posted 18. Number of bridges with posted Signs in the field 18.

**8. List bridges closed due to condition rating (rough check)** 0

**9. List bridges rated less than 100% Ohio legal load and not physically load posted, and resolution.** (Assetwise Check) 0

**10. Number of NBIS bridges with Gusset Plates** (Metric 13) 1

**11. Number of NBIS bridges with Gusset Plates analyzed.** (Metric 13) 1

**12. Describe filing system (where files are kept):** (Metric 15)

- Inspection reports, including old inspections:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Design Calculations:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Plans:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Load analysis calculations:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Inventory forms:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Photos and sketches:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Repairs and maintenance history

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Scour evaluation:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Scour POA:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three
- Other

- Fracture Critical File:

- On paper file in Office
- Electronically
- In Assetwise
- All three

Other

• Load Posting/Closing:

On paper file in Office

Electronically

In Assetwise

All three

Other

• Underwater inspections:

On paper file in Office

Electronically

In Assetwise

All three

Other

• Special inspection eqpt. or procedures:

On paper file in Office

Electronically

In Assetwise

All three

Other

• Flood data, waterway adequacy, channel cross sections:

On paper file in Office

Electronically

In Assetwise

All three

Other

**Note the NBIS Retention period:** BR-86 report 10 years, All records 3 years after bridge removed, Load rating calculations 3 years after a new rating is done.

**13. What is the FC bridge inspection frequency?** (Metric 16) **Every \_24\_ Months**

**14. Is the FC Plan completed for all FC bridges?** (Metric 16) **Yes  No**

**15. Are the FCM Identified in the FC Plan?** (Metric 16) **Yes  No**

**16. What is the underwater inspection frequency?** (Metric 17) **Every \_ 60\_ Months**

17. Are the underwater elements identified and located? (Metric 17) Yes  No

18. List any complex bridges: (Metric 19) 0

19. Do the complex bridges require specialized inspection procedures and additional inspector training? (Metric 19)

Yes  No

Describe:

Other equipment not listed above:

## Part II: Field Review

### Inspection Reports (metric 12)

As part of this review, **seven** bridges were field reviewed to compare conditions with the most recent inspection report. The individual condition ratings for all of the field sampled bridges properly reflected the field conditions within the tolerance of 1 rating value when compared to the Manual. Summary ratings correspond with the NBIS inspection items.

### Field Review:

#### **VAN-C0185-0005\_(8137226) Steel Beams**

Item 58 Deck.....6 Agreed  
Item 59 Superstructure..... 6 Agreed  
Item 60 Substructure.....7 Agreed  
Item 61 Channel.....7 Agreed  
Item 61.01 Scour.....7 Agreed  
Item 62 Culvert.....N Agreed  
Item 67.01 GA ..... 6 Agreed  
Item 36 Railing..... 0 0 0 0 Agreed  
Item 72 Approach Alignment .....9 Agreed

Comments: Good comments in Assetwise.

Defect Photos: Good photos in Assetwise.

Channel Photos: Channel Photos are acceptable, but could be better if taken further away from bridge to get it all in with the overall channel context.

#### **VAN-C0124-0014\_(8137137) Concrete slab cont.**

Item 58 Deck.....6 Agreed  
Item 59 Superstructure.....6 Agreed  
Item 60 Substructure.....6 **5** Abutment condition rating is governed by the scour, when scour is present.  
Item 61 Channel.....7 Agreed

Item 61.01 Scour.....6 According to the manual this should be on the order of a 5 due to the number of exposed piling. It is not a 4 because of the abutment setback and elevation.



Item 62 Culvert..... N

Item 67.01 GA ..... 6 This should be a 5 as well.

Item 36 Railing ..... 0 1 1 1 Agreed

Item 72 Approach Alignment .....

Comments: Needed Comments in Assetwise concerning scour and ratings. Particularly if the condition has changed, or not.

Defect Photos: Good defect photos, but they need labeled so we know which beam/span/area were are looking at, plus, it would be better to have a couple of wider angled shots to put the defects into scale and context. Also, I was expecting to see a couple photos of the pier piling, given the beginning of section loss at the waterline area on a few of them.

Channel Photos: One really Good Channel Photo in the Channel Photo section, the other one doesn't quite capture everything that is required. But there are suitable ones in the general photo section that would pass the criteria.

### VAN-C0084-0012\_(8136203) Steel Beams

Item 58 Deck..... 7 Agreed

Item 59 Superstructure..... 6 Agreed

Item 60 Substructure..... 7 Agreed

Item 61 Channel.....7 Agreed

Item 61.01 Scour.....7 Agreed

Item 62 Culvert.....N Agreed

Item 67.01 GA .....6 Agreed

Item 36 Railing..... 0 0 0 0 Agreed

Item 72 Approach Alignment .....8 Agreed

Comments: Good Comments in Assetwise

Defect Photos: Great Defect photos and labels too.

Channel Photos: Excellent Channel Photos

**VAN-C0070-0004\_(8139482) Concrete Slab**

Item 58 Deck..... 6 Agreed  
Item 59 Superstructure..... 6 Agreed  
Item 60 Substructure..... 7 Agreed  
    Item 61 Channel.....7 Agreed  
    Item 61.01 Scour.....7 Agreed  
Item 62 Culvert.....N Agreed  
Item 67.01 GA .....6 Agreed  
Item 36 Railing..... 0 0 0 0 Agreed  
Item 72 Approach Alignment .....8 Agreed  
Comments: Good comments  
Defect Photos: Good Defect photos  
Channel Photos: Great Channel Photos

**VAN-C0006-0022\_(8135002) Prestressed Concrete beams**

Item 58 Deck..... 8 Must agree with Superstructure since there is no deck (7)  
Item 59 Superstructure.....7 Agreed  
Item 60 Substructure..... 7 Agreed  
    Item 61 Channel.....7 Agreed  
    Item 61.01 Scour.....7 Agreed  
Item 62 Culvert.....N Agreed  
Item 67.01 GA .....7 Agreed  
Item 36 Railing..... 0 0 0 0 Agreed  
Item 72 Approach Alignment ..... 8 Agreed  
Comments: Good comments in Assetwise  
Defect Photos: Good defect photos  
Channel Photos: Great channel photos.

**VAN-C0035-0007\_(8138222) Concrete Pipe culvert**

Item 58 Deck..... N Agreed  
Item 59 Superstructure..... N Agreed  
Item 60 Substructure.....N Agreed  
    Item 61 Channel..... 6 Agreed  
    Item 61.01 Scour.....7 Agreed  
Item 62 Culvert.....6 Agreed  
  
Item 67.01 GA .....6 Agreed  
Item 36 Railing..... 0 0 0 0 Agreed  
Item 72 Approach Alignment .... 6 Agreed  
Comments: Good comments in Assetwise.  
Defect Photos: Good defect photos  
Channel Photos: Good channel photos



**VAN-T0011-0004\_(8138079) Timber slab continuous**

- Item 58 Deck.....7 Agreed
- Item 59 Superstructure..... 7 Agreed
- Item 60 Substructure.....7 Agreed
  - Item 61 Channel..... 7 Agreed
    - Item 61.01 Scour.....7 Agreed
  - Item 62 Culvert.....N Agreed
  - Item 67.01 GA .....7. Agreed
- Item 36 Railing..... 0 0 0 0 Agreed
- Item 72 Approach Alignment ... 8 Agreed
- Comments: [Great comments in Assetwise.](#)
- Defect Photos: [Good defect photos...again, need some labeling and contextual shots too.](#)
- Channel Photos: [Good channel shots](#)

**Field Review Summary:**

Overall, the county is doing an excellent job with their bridge inspection program. Their records are complete and organized. I found all of their condition ratings to be within the parameters set by the inspection manual. The comments could use a little more elaboration at times, with corresponding photos to show the Location, Extent and Severity of the defects. Otherwise, the comments and photos are very good. I would suggest that the older photos be archived and only keep the latest photos in Assetwise Inspection photo section and be sure to date and label the photos. Another reminder that Scour always control substructure when scour is present. Also, in the case of prestressed box beam bridges, the deck and superstructure ratings are always the same, unless there is a separately poured deck.

**PART III Office file Review**

- Fracture critical bridges. 1
  - [VAN-T0041-0002 8130000](#)
- Fracture Critical Member and Fatigue Prone Connection ID Plan. 1
  - [VAN-T0041-0002 8130000](#)
- Bridge Load Rating Report, including Gusset plate analysis. 1
  - [VAN-T0041-0002 8130000](#)

**Other load ratings**

- [BR 100 LI-C070-04](#)      [BR 100 WI-T011-04](#)
- [BR PL-C006-22](#)      [BR RI-C084-12](#)
- [BR WA-C124-14](#)      [BR WA-C185-05](#)

WA-C124-14 Load Rating Report (Not SHV)

WI-T041-02 Load Rating (Not SHV)

Underwater inspections. NA

POA for Scour

Scour susceptible bridges **Everything over a stream with shallow foundations**

Critical findings **0**

All reviewed files are complete with all documentation concerning load rating, channel photos and defect photos, along with previous inspection reports. Their files are complete and comprehensive, documenting the bridge history through reports, plans and photographs.

## PART IV Snapshot DATA Summary of Program

| <b>VAN WERT County 2022</b>                           |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| <b>INVENTORY, APPRAISAL &amp; INSPECTION SNAPSHOT</b> |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
| 11/10/2022  |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
| <b>Inventory Data - NBIS Bridges Only</b>             |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
|   |           |                          |  |             | <u>NBIS COUNT</u> |             |
| NBIS Bridges > 20'                                    |           |                          |  |             | 225               |             |
| Bridges 10'-20'                                       |           |                          |  |             | 40                |             |
| All Bridges   |           |                          |  |             | 265               |             |
| <b>Item 221 Inspection Responsibility</b>             |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
|   |           |                          |  | <u>CODE</u> | <u>#NBIS</u>      | <u>#ALL</u> |
| Data Tab  | Col BV,Bw | County                   |  | 2           | 225               | 265         |
| <b>Item 21 Maintenance responsibility</b>             |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
|   |           |                          |  | <u>CODE</u> | <u>#NBIS</u>      | <u>#ALL</u> |
| Data Tab  |           | County                   |  | 2           | 225               | 265         |
| Col D   |           | City or other local      |  | 4           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Railroad                 |  | 27          | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Private (tohter than RR) |  | 26          | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | State Park               |  | 11          | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Local Park               |  | 23          | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | State Agency             |  | 1           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Township                 |  | 3           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           |                          |  |             | 225               | 265         |
| <b>Item 42A Type service on bridge</b>                |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
|   |           |                          |  | <u>CODE</u> | <u>#NBIS</u>      | <u>#ALL</u> |
| Data Tab  |           | Other                    |  | 0           | 0                 | 0           |
| Col Q   |           | Highway                  |  | 1           | 225               | 265         |
|   |           | Railroad                 |  | 2           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Ped/Bikeway              |  | 3           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Hwy/RR                   |  | 4           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Hwy/Ped                  |  | 5           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           |                          |  |             | 225               | 265         |
| <b>Item 42B Type service under bridge</b>             |           |                          |  |             |                   |             |
|   |           |                          |  | <u>CODE</u> | <u>#NBIS</u>      | <u>#ALL</u> |
| Data Tab  |           | Other                    |  | 0           | 0                 | 0           |
| Col R   |           | Hwy w/ or w/o Ped        |  | 1           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Railroad                 |  | 2           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Ped/Bkwy                 |  | 3           | 0                 | 1           |
|   |           | Hwy w/ RR                |  | 4           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Waterway                 |  | 5           | 225               | 264         |
|   |           | Hwy/Waterway             |  | 6           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | RR/Waterway              |  | 7           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Hwy/Waterway/RR          |  | 8           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           | Relief (for waterways)   |  | 9           | 0                 | 0           |
|   |           |                          |  |             | 225               | 265         |

All data is complete and correct in this section.

| ITEMS 43A,B,C Structure Type                   | Data (Col M,N,O)                  | CODE | #NBIS      | #ALL       |
|--|-----------------------------------|------|------------|------------|
| Concrete Slab                                  |                                   | 101  | 2          | 5          |
| Concrete Box Beam/Girder Multiple              |                                   | 105  | 37         | 37         |
| Concrete Frame                                 |                                   | 107  | 0          | 4          |
| Concrete Culvert (incl frame culverts)         |                                   | 119  | 1          | 10         |
| Concrete Continuous Slab                       |                                   | 201  | 8          | 8          |
| Steel Beam or Girder                           |                                   | 302  | 36         | 40         |
| Steel Thru Truss (includes Pony)               |                                   | 310  | 1          | 1          |
| Steel Culvert (incl frame culverts)            |                                   | 319  | 0          | 16         |
| Steel Continuous Beam or Girder                |                                   | 402  | 1          | 1          |
| Prestr. Conc. Cont. Box Beam/Girder Multiple   |                                   | 505  | 137        | 140        |
| Timber Slab                                    |                                   | 701  | 2          | 2          |
| Aluminum or Iron Culvert (incl frame culverts) |                                   | 919  | 0          | 1          |
|  |                                   |      | <b>225</b> | <b>265</b> |
| <b>Item 92A Fracture Critical</b>              |                                   |      |            |            |
| Data Tab                                       | Requires FC Inspection            | Y    | 1          | n/a        |
| Col U,V,Y                                      | Requires FC Inspection            | N    | 224        | n/a        |
|  |                                   |      | <b>225</b> | <b>n/a</b> |
|  | FC Switch Y/N is Blank            |      | 0          | n/a        |
| <b>Item 113 Scour</b>                          |                                   |      |            |            |
| Data Tab                                       | Bridge not over waterway          | N    | 0          | 1          |
| Col AA   | unknown foundation                | U    | 0          | 0          |
|  | over tidal waters                 | T    | 0          | 0          |
|  | foundations on dry land           | 9    | 1          | 1          |
|  | stable above footing              | 8    | 12         | 12         |
|  | countermeasures installed         | 7    | 0          | 1          |
|  | no scour evaluation made          | 6    | 0          | 0          |
|  | stable within footer limits       | 5    | 211        | 246        |
|  | stable action needed              | 4    | 1          | 4          |
|  | scour critical - unstable         | 3    | 0          | 0          |
|  | scour critical - scour present    | 2    | 0          | 0          |
|  | scour critical - failure imminent | 1    | 0          | 0          |
|  | scour critical - bridge failed    | 0    | 0          | 0          |
|  |                                   |      | <b>225</b> | <b>265</b> |

VAN-C0192-0019\_(8131503)      VAN-C0382-0001\_(8136610)  
VAN-T0125-0005\_(8140448)      VAN-T0130-0023\_(8135347)

*The bridges above have a non-critical finding scour rating that requires corrective measures. Once the measures are implemented the scour rating should move to a 7.*

All data is complete and correct in this section.

| Item 63 Documented Engineering Judgment |   |  |                            | #NBIS | #ALL  |      |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|------|
|   | Field Eval & Doc EJ                               |  |                            | 0     | n/a   |      |
|   | BR_100 for these bridges?                         |  |                            |       |       |      |
|   |   |  |                            |       |       |      |
| Item 92B Underwater                     |   |  |                            | CODE  | #NBIS | #ALL |
| Data Tab                                | requires dive inspection                          |  | N                          | 225   | n/a   |      |
| Col W,X,Z                               | requires dive inspection                          |  | Y                          | 0     | n/a   |      |
|   |   |  |                            | 225   |       |      |
|   |   |  |                            |       |       |      |
| Item 709 Plan Information               |   |  |                            | CODE  | #NBIS | #ALL |
| Data Tab                                | plans not avail                                   |  | 0                          | 1     | 1     |      |
| Col. AW                                 | plan avail  |  | 1                          | 220   | 257   |      |
|   | field measured                                    |  | 2                          | 4     | 7     |      |
|   | Field Testing                                     |  | 3                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   | not applicable                                    |  | N                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   |   |  |                            | 225   | 265   |      |
|   |   |  |                            |       |       |      |
| Item 63 Method of Analysis              |   |  |                            | CODE  | #NBIS | #ALL |
| Data Tab                                | Field Eval & Doc. Engr Judgment                   |  | 0                          | 0     | 0     |      |
| Col. AV                                 | Work Stress                                       |  | 1                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   | LFR   |  | 2                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   | LRFR  |  | 3                          | 0     | 1     |      |
|   | load test   |  | 4                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   | No rating done                                    |  | 5                          | 1     | 26    |      |
|   | LFR   |  | 6                          | 217   | 225   |      |
|   | AS  |  | 7                          | 0     | 1     |      |
|   | LRFR  |  | 8                          | 7     | 12    |      |
|   | Assigned LFR HS20                                 |  | D                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   | Assigned LRFR HL93                                |  | F                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   | not appl (RR, etc)                                |  | X                          | 0     | 0     |      |
|   |   |  |                            | 225   | 265   |      |
| <b>REMINDER:</b>                        |   |  |                            |       |       |      |
|   | Load Factor required for bridges built after 1993 |  | (exceptions: timber, etc.) |       |       |      |
|   | LRFR required for bridges built after 2010        |  |                            |       |       |      |

All data is complete and correct in this section.

| <b>Inspection Condition Data - NBIS Bridges Only</b> |                               |             |                    |             |  |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|
| <b>Item 41</b>                                       | <b>Operating Status</b>       | <b>CODE</b> | <b>#NBIS</b>       | <b>#ALL</b> |  |
| Data Tab   | Open, No restriction          | A           | 207                | 244         |  |
| Col AM   | Open, posting recommended     | B           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  | Open, Half width constr.      | C           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  | Open because of temp. fix     | D           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  | Open using temp. structure    | E           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  | New struture not yet open     | G           | 0                  | 1           |  |
|  | closed for load cap. reason   | K           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  | Posted for load capacity      | P           | 18                 | 20          |  |
|  | Posted for other than load    | R           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  | Closed for other than load    | X           | 0                  | 0           |  |
|  |                               |             | <b>225</b>         | <b>265</b>  |  |
| <b>Metric 13 Load Rating Data</b>                    |                               |             |                    |             |  |
| <b>Load Rating Tab</b>                               |                               |             | <b># OF ERRORS</b> |             |  |
| Col. AN  | Op RF greater than Inv RF?    |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AO  | Posting and % Legal OK?       |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AP  | "0" used instead of blank     |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AT  | % legal <> lowest RF          |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AV  | Item 70 correct?              |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AW  | Method of Rating Alike?       |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AX  | Op & Inv RF in Tons as req'd? |             | 1                  |             |  |
| Col. AY  | Item 575 correct?             |             | 0                  |             |  |
| Col. AZ  | Depth of fill completed?      |             | 0                  |             |  |

VAN-C0035-0007\_(8138222)

Operating and Inventory Rating factors need to be in TONS when using any rating method below 6

All data is complete and correct in this section.

| KEY METRICS  |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| (C)  | Compliant                     | (CC)      | Conditionally Compliant              |        |                        |
| (SC)   | Substantially Compliant       | (NC)      | Non-Compliant                        |        |                        |
|  |                               | (NC)      | (SC) If corrected within 6/12 months |        |                        |
|  |                               |           | Refresher=6 mo, Comprehensive=12 mo  |        |                        |
| <b>METRIC 2 - Program Manager Qualification</b> (from files examination) |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
| From Files review  |                               | Missing   | #sampled                             | % PASS | COMPLIANCE             |
| PE /Experience   |                               | 0         | 1                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Comprehensive  |                               | 0         | 1                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Refresher  |                               | 0         | 1                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| <b>METRIC 3 - Team Leader Qualification</b> (from files examination)     |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
| From Files review  |                               | Missing   | #sampled                             | % PASS | COMPLIANCE             |
| Degree /Experience   |                               | 0         | 3                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Comprehensive  |                               | 0         | 3                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Refresher  |                               | 0         | 3                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| <b>METRIC 6 Insp. Frequency Routine</b>                                  |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
| Bridge Inspections Overdue   |                               | # OVERDUE |                                      | % PASS | COMPLIANCE             |
| Data Tab   | NBIS - 24 months              | 0         |                                      | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Col. AB  | ORC - Calendar Year           | 0         |                                      | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Col. AB  | All Routine insp.             | 0         |                                      |        |                        |
|  | BIM - 18 months               | 0         |                                      | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| <b>METRIC 8 - Insp. Frequency Underwater</b>                             |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
| Dive Inspections Overdue   |                               | # OVERDUE | # UW                                 | % PASS | COMPLIANCE             |
| Data Tab Col. Z  | 60 months                     | 0         | 0                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| <b>METRIC 10 - Insp. Frequency FC Member</b>                             |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
| FC Inspections Overdue   |                               | # OVERDUE | # FC                                 | % PASS | COMPLIANCE             |
| Data Tab Col. Y  | 24 months                     | 0         | 1                                    | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| <b>METRIC 12 - Routine Inspection</b> (** from field review)             |                               |           |                                      |        |                        |
| Field Ratings  |                               | # > +/-1  | # Ratings                            | % PASS | COMPLIANCE             |
|  | field ratings**               | 0         | 24                                   | 100.0% | (C)                    |
| Comments   |                               | Missing   | # < 6                                | % PASS |                        |
| Tab  | Comments when Rating < 6      | 0         | 216                                  | 100.0% | (C)                    |
|  | Adequacy comments **          | 0         | 30                                   | 100.0% | (C)                    |
|  |                               | Error     | Total Scour                          | % PASS |                        |
| Comments   | Rating should be = Scour      | 0         | 211                                  | 100.0% | within tolerance +/- 1 |
| Tab  | Noncompliant Scour Rating Err | 0         | 212                                  | 100.0% | (C)                    |

All data is complete and correct in this section.

| METRIC 14 - Posting           |          | Load rating data tab |        |            |  |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--------|------------|--|
| From Files review             | # errors | #sampled             | % PASS | COMPLIANCE |  |
| Op RF < 3 tons but not closed | 1        | 225                  | 99.6%  | (SC)       |  |
| Op RF = 0 but not closed      | 0        | 225                  | 100.0% | (C)        |  |
| % Legal < 100 but not posted  | 0        | 225                  | 100.0% | (C)        |  |
| Item 41 = B                   | 0        | 225                  | 100.0% | (C)        |  |

VAN-C0035-0007\_(8138222) See comment above in load rating section

All data is complete and correct in this section.

| METRIC 16 - Fracture Critical Inspection |         | (from files examination) |        |            |  |
|--|---------|--------------------------|--------|------------|--|
| From Files review                        | Missing | # FC                     | % PASS | COMPLIANCE |  |
| Fract Critical Member ID                 | 0       | 2                        | 100.0% | (C)        |  |
| Fatigue Prone Detail                     | 0       | 2                        | 100.0% | (C)        |  |
| Gusset Plate Calculations                | 0       | 2                        | 100.0% | (C)        |  |
| FC Inspection Procedure                  | 0       | 2                        | 100.0% | (C)        |  |

  

| METRIC 17 - Underwater Inspection |         | (from files examination) |        |            |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------|------------|--|
| From Files review                 | Missing | # UW                     | % PASS | COMPLIANCE |  |
| UW Inspection Procedure           | 0       | 0                        | 100%   | (C)        |  |
| Location of UW elements           | 0       | 0                        | 100%   | (C)        |  |
| UW frequency identified           | 0       | 0                        | 100%   | (C)        |  |

All data is complete and correct in this section.



## PRELIMINARY FHWA 23 Metric Matrix

23 metrics used by FHWA to measure NBIS compliance

### Compliance Codes for the following Metrics:

|      |  |
|------|--|
| (C)  | Compliant  |
| (SC) | Substantially Compliant                            |
| (CC) | Conditionally Compliant (Adhering to approved PCA) |
| (NC) | Not Compliant                                      |

| Metric | Description                              | (C) | (SC) | (CC) | (NC) |
|--------|--|-----|------|------|------|
| 1      | State Bridge Inspection Organization     |     |      |      |      |
| 2      | Program Manager Qualification            |     |      |      |      |
| 3      | Team Leader Qualification                |     |      |      |      |
| 4      | Load Rating Engineer Qualification       |     |      |      |      |
| 5      | UW Bridge Inspection Diver Qualification |     |      |      |      |
| 6      | Routine Inspection Frequency - Low Risk  |     |      |      |      |
| 7      | Routine Inspection Frequency - High Risk |     |      |      |      |
| 8      | UW Inspection Frequency - Low Risk       |     |      |      |      |
| 9      | UW Inspection Frequency - High Risk      |     |      |      |      |
| 10     | FC Inspection Frequency                  |     |      |      |      |
| 11     | Frequency Criteria                       |     |      |      |      |
| 12     | Inspection Quality **                    |     |      |      |      |
| 13     | Load Rating                              |     |      |      |      |
| 14     | Posted or Restricted Bridges             |     |      |      |      |
| 15     | Bridge Files                             |     |      |      |      |
| 16     | FC Bridges                               |     |      |      |      |
| 17     | UW inspection procedures                 |     |      |      |      |
| 18     | Scour Critical Bridges                   |     |      |      |      |
| 19     | Complex Bridges                          |     |      |      |      |
| 20     | QC/QA                                    |     |      |      |      |
| 21     | Critical Findings                        |     |      |      |      |
| 22     | Inventory **                             |     |      |      |      |
| 23     | Updating of Data                         |     |      |      |      |

\*\* based on results of Field Review

| Metric | Action Needed |
|--------|---------------|
|        |               |
|        |               |

